

## REMARKS

The Office Action of June 1, 2005 has been received and its contents carefully noted. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 and 10 have been amended. Reexamination and reconsideration of the amended application respectfully is requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 11-16 for anticipation by U.S. patent 5,677,15 to Takano et al. For the reasons discussed below, it is respectfully submitted that the independent claims 1 and 9 are patentable over Takano.

Amended claim 1 recites an electronic apparatus capable of effectively using power of an AC/DC adaptor, which includes a host, having a CPU controller and a charging controller. An AC/DC adaptor receives AC power and converts it to DC power for the host, and outputs a controlling signal to control power consumption of the host according to the power output at that time. The adaptor includes a switching power converter for converting the AC power to the DC power and a power supply controller, connected to both the CPU controller and the charging controller for outputting the controlling signal according to the power output by the switching power converter.

Thus, the power supply controller connects both the CPU controller and the charging controller so as to

- (a) supply the DC power to the host,
- (b) charge a battery if any, and
- (c) control the power consumption of the host according to the power output at that time.

However, *Takano et al.* do not supply the DC power to the host, only to a battery 2, and do not “control the power consumption of the host according to the power output at that time,” as recited in claim 1. Since Takano et al. do not disclose these features of claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that the invention defined by claim 1 clearly is patentable over this reference.

The features of independent claim 9 also are not disclosed by *Takano et al.*, as discussed in claim 1. It therefore is respectfully submitted that the invention defined by claim 9 is patentable over *Takano et al.*

AMENDMENT

(10/720,332)

Since the remaining claims depend from the independent claims discussed above and recite additional limitations to further define the invention, they are patentable along with their independent claims and need not be further discussed. The amendments to claims 2, 3, 5, and 10 are for the sake of correcting only minor editorial errors and not required for compliance with any portion of 35 USC.

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance and such a Notice, with allowed claims 1-14, earnestly is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

August 30, 2005

Date



Steven M. Rabin - Reg. No. 29,102

RABIN & BERDO, P.C.

Telephone: (202) 371-8976

Telefax: (202) 408-0924

CUSTOMER NO. 23995

SMR:pjl

AMENDMENT

(10/720,332)